

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FATIMA LEYDERMAN, *on behalf of*
G.Y.B., a minor child,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

22 Civ. 4126 (ER)

TRANSFER ORDER

Ramos, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff brings this action seeking review of the Commissioner of Social Security's decision denying Plaintiff's application for disability benefits under the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). For the following reasons, this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

An action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g) and/or 1383(c)(3) may be brought only in "the district court of the United States for the judicial district in which the plaintiff resides, or has his principal place of business, or, if he does not reside or have his principal place of business within any such judicial district, in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *see* § 1383(c)(3) ("The final determination of the Commissioner of Social Security after a hearing under [§ 1383(c)(1)] shall be subject to judicial review as provided in section 405(g).").

Plaintiff alleges that Plaintiff resides in Staten Island, New York, or Richmond County. Because Plaintiff's residence is not in this district, and Plaintiff makes no allegation about a principal place of business, venue is not proper in this Court under §§ 405(g) or 1383(c)(3). Richmond County falls within the Eastern District of New York. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 112. Accordingly, venue lies in the Eastern District of New York, *see* 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g) and

1383(c)(3), and this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. A summons shall not issue from this Court. This order closes this case.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 25, 2022
New York, New York



EDGARDO RAMOS
United States District Judge